

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.hspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/683,713	02/06/2002	Hilmar Gugel	21295-40	8638
	590 03/06/2003			
BROWN, RUDNICK, BERLACK & ISRAELS, LLP.			EXAMINER	
BOX IP, 18TH ONE FINANC	IAL CENTER		NGUYEN, THONG Q	
BOSTON, MA	A 02111		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2872	.9
			DATE MAILED: 03/06/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7		A-			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/683,713	GUGEL ET AL.			
,	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thong Q. Nguyen	2872			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspond nce address			
THE REPLY FILED 19 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires THREE months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) 🖾 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) X they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:				
Claim(s) allowed: None.					
Claim(s) objected to: None.					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 3-6, and 8-35</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		Thong Q. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2872			
S. Patent and Trademark Office					



Continuation of 2. NOTE: the materials which are newly-added to claim 1 raise new issue that require further consideration and search.

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant has failed to file a CLEAN copy of the amended claim 1. However, in the spirit of cooperation, the amendment has been considered and has resulted as shown in this Advisory action..